

## **Thursday, 22 February 2024** LabCoP ECHO session: Low level viremia during anti-retroviral therapy; Lessons from a Uganda study

| SN  | Questions  | Answer/ Response / Comments  |
|-----|--|--|
| I.  | Some countries in SSA use various testing platforms with lower limit of detection as low as 40 copies/ml for plasma VL. Why did you use the cutoff of 50-999copies/ml for LLV?   | We chose this range of 50-999 copies/ml, following the WHO definition of Low-level viraemia (LLV).   |
| 2.  | Intensive Adherence counselling in most SSA countries is offered only to clients with unsuppressed VL results. Is this same in Uganda?   | In Uganda, intensive adherence counselling (IAC) is now also offered to PLHIV with LLV, as per the 2022 WHO recommendations.   |
| 3.  | Completing all three sessions of IAC is a big challenge in most health facilities in Cameroon. Your study indicates 100 percent completion (68/68). What were your strategies in the study to achieve this high completion rate and if it applies to the standard of care in Uganda? | In our study, we gave a transport refund to the participants when they came for the IAC sessions. We also used continuous reminders to follow up those who were scheduled for IAC in the study.  |
| 4.  | What is IAC??  | IAC is Intensive adherence counselling, and this originally refers to targeted counseling offered to PLHIV on ART with a non-suppressed viral load (VL of 1,000 copies/ml or more). It involves three monthly sessions of targeted counselling, after which a VL test is repeated in the fourth month. |
| 5.  | How could LLV (50-1000copies/ml) contribute to treatment failure unless the clients not adhering to the regimens?  | PLHIV on ART are expected to completely suppress the virus and have a non-detectable viral load status. Hence if a PLHIV has LLV, they could already be having drug-resistant HIV, which if not managed well, can lead to treatment failure.   |
| 6.  | I might have missed it: on follow-up, what percentage of patients in the study were found to have persistent LLV?  | 56.3% of PLHIV had persistent LLV on follow-up.  |
| 7.  | How do you exactly define LLV?   | Low-level viraemia was defined as a viral load of at least 50 copies/mL but less than 1000 copies/mL (≥ 50 copies/mL to < 1000 copies/mL)  |
| 8.  | What is your comment on re-introduction of immunological markers such as CD4 + T cells in monitoring HIV degree progression and prognosis.   | Immunological markers like CD4 are very helpful in complimenting viral load monitoring to predict patient outcomes, in the era where we aim to achieve epidemic control.   |
| 9.  | Do we know what proportion of the LLV is associated with LLV versus suboptimal drug intake?  | We need to do further research to understand this.   |
| 10. | Is there a maximum number of repeat IAC and repeat viral loads for a client with low viremia considering the cost of reagents and public health approach?  | We also need to investigate this further.  |
| 11. | When is a client said to have HIV drug resistance?   | This can easily be determined by doing HIV drug resistance testing for all eligible PLHIV.   |



